arXiv:math/0405346v1 [math.CO] 18 May 2004

MacMahon-type Identities for Signed Even Permutations

Dan Bernstein
Department of Mathematics
The Weizmann Institute of Science
Rehovot 76100, Israel
`dan.bernstein@weizmann.ac.il`

May 18, 2004

Abstract

MacMahon's classic theorem states that the *length* and *major index* statistics are equidistributed on the symmetric group S_n. By defining natural analogues or generalizations of those statistics, similar equidistribution results have been obtained for the alternating group A_n by Regev and Roichman, for the hyperoctahedral group B_n by Adin, Brenti and Roichman, and for the group of even-signed permutations D_n by Biagioli. We prove analogues of MacMahon's equidistribution theorem for the group of signed even permutations and for its subgroup of even-signed even permutations.

1 Introduction

A classic theorem by MacMahon [4] states that two *permutation statistics*, namely the *length* (or *inversion number*) and the *major index*, are equidistributed on the symmetric group S_n. Many refinements and generalizations of this theorem are known today (see [6] for a brief review). In [6], Regev and Roichman gave an analogue of MacMahon's theorem for the alternating group $A_n \subseteq S_n$, and in [1], Adin, Brenti and Roichman gave an analogue for the hyperoctahedral group $B_n = C_2 \wr S_n$. Both results involve natural generalizations of the S_n statistics having the equidistribution property.

Our main result here (Proposition 3.1) is an analogue of MacMahon's equidistribution theorem for *the group of signed even permutations* $L_n = C_2 \wr A_n \subseteq B_n$. Namely, we define two statistics on L_n, the *L-length* and the *negative alternating reverse major index*, and show that they have the same generating function, hence they are equidistributed. Our Main Lemma (Lemma 3.5) shows that every element of L_n has a unique decomposition into a descent-free factor and a signless even factor.

In [2], Biagioli proved an analogue of MacMahon's theorem for *the group of even-signed permutations* D_n (signed permutations with an even number of sign changes). Using our main result, we prove an analogue for *the group of even-signed even permutations* $(L \cap D)_n = L_n \cap D_n$ (see Proposition 4.2).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains notations and definitions of the groups and statistics we use. Also in that section, we define canonical presentations in B_n and in L_n. In Section 3 we prove the equidistribution identity for L_n, and finally in Section 4 we prove the equidistribution identity for $(L \cap D)_n$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation

For an integer $a \geq 0$ we let $[a] = \{1, 2, \ldots, a\}$ (where $[0] = \emptyset$).

We let C_m be the cyclic group of order m.

Let S_n be the symmetric group on $1, \ldots, n$ and let $A_n \subset S_n$ denote the alternating group.

We denote by B_n the wreath product $C_2 \wr S_n$. We think of $\sigma \in B_n$ as a bijection of $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm n\}$ onto itself such that $\sigma(-i) = -\sigma(i)$ for all $i \in [n]$.

If $\sigma \in B_n$ then we write $\sigma = [\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n]$ to mean that $\sigma(i) = \sigma_i$ for $i \in [n]$. Call this the *window* notation of σ.

We denote by L_n the wreath product $C_2 \wr A_n$, the subgroup of B_n of index 2 containing the signed even permutations (which is not to be confused with the group of even-signed permutations mentioned in Section 4).

2.2 S_n

2.2.1 The Coxeter System of S_n

S_n is a Coxeter group of type A. The Coxeter generators are the adjacent transpositions $S = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ where $s_i = (i, i+1)$. The defining relations are the Moore-Coxeter relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (s_i s_{i+1})^3 &= 1 \quad (1 \leq i < n), \\ (s_i s_j)^2 &= 1 \quad (|i-j| > 1), \\ s_i^2 &= 1 \quad (1 \leq i < n). \end{aligned}$$

2.2.2 The S Canonical Presentation

For each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ define

$$R_j^S = \{1, s_j, s_j s_{j-1}, \ldots, s_j s_{j-1} \ldots s_1\}$$

and note that $R_1^S, \ldots, R_{n-1}^S \subseteq S_n$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $w \in S_n$. Then there exist unique elements $w_j \in R_j^S$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, such that $w = w_1 \dots w_{n-1}$. Thus, the presentation $w = w_1 \dots w_{n-1}$ is unique.*

Definition 2.2. Call $w = w_1 \dots w_{n-1}$ in the above theorem *the S canonical presentation of $w \in S_n$.*

2.3 B_n

2.3.1 The Coxeter System of B_n

B_n is a Coxeter group of type B, generated by $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$ together with an exceptional generator $s_0 = [-1, 2, 3, \dots, n]$, whose action is as follows:

$$[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n] s_0 = [-\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n]$$
$$s_0[\sigma_1, \dots, \pm 1, \dots, \sigma_n] = [\sigma_1, \dots, \mp 1, \dots, \sigma_n].$$

The additional relations are: $s_0^2 = 1$, $(s_0 s_1)^4 = 1$, and $s_0 s_i = s_i s_0$ for all $1 < i < n$.

2.3.2 The B Canonical Presentation

For each $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ define

$$R_j^B = \{1, s_j, s_j s_{j-1}, \dots, s_j s_{j-1} \dots s_1, s_j s_{j-1} \dots s_1 s_0, \\ s_j s_{j-1} \dots s_1 s_0 s_1, \dots, s_j s_{j-1} \dots s_1 s_0 s_1 \dots s_j\}$$

and note that $R_0^B, \dots, R_{n-1}^B \subseteq B_n$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\sigma \in B_n$. Then there exist unique elements $\sigma_j \in R_j^B$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, such that $\sigma = \sigma_0 \dots \sigma_{n-1}$. Moreover, written explicitly $\sigma_0 \dots \sigma_{n-1} = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_r}$ is a reduced expression for σ, that is r is the minimum length of an expression of σ as a product of elements in S.*

Definition 2.4. Call $\sigma = \sigma_0 \dots \sigma_{n-1}$ in the above theorem *the B canonical presentation of $\sigma \in B_n$.*

To prove Theorem 2.3 we use the following property of Coxeter groups.

Proposition 2.5 (See [3], Proposition 2.1.1). *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, and let $J \subseteq S$. Define $W_J = \langle J \rangle$ and $X_J = \{w \in W \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \ \forall s \in J\}$.*

1. *For each $w \in W$ there exist unique $v \in W_J$ and $x \in X_J$ such that $w = vx$. Moreover, $\ell(w) = \ell(v) + \ell(x)$.*
2. *For any $w \in W$, $w \in X_J$ iff w is the unique element of minimal length in $W_J w$.*

In particular, X_J is a complete set of right coset representatives of W_J in W.

The set X_J is called the set of *distinguished right coset representatives* of W_J in W.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. First we show that there exists a reduced expression of the above form for σ. According to the proposition above, it suffices to show that for every $0 < j \leq n$, R^B_{j-1} is the set of distinguished right coset representatives of $B_{j-1} = \langle s_0, \ldots, s_{j-2} \rangle$ in B_j.

First we show that R^B_{j-1} consists of right coset representatives. Let $\sigma \in B_j$, $r = |\sigma^{-1}(j)|$, and $\sigma' = [\sigma_1\sigma_2 \ldots \sigma_{r-1}\sigma_{r+1} \ldots \sigma_j j] \in B_{j-1}$. If $\sigma(r) = j$, then

$$\sigma' s_{j-1}s_{j-2} \ldots s_r = [\sigma_1\sigma_2 \ldots \sigma_{r-1} j \sigma_{r+1} \ldots \sigma_j] = \sigma;$$

otherwise $\sigma(r) = -j$, so

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma' s_{j-1}s_{j-2} \ldots s_1 s_0 s_1 \ldots s_{r-1} \\ &= [\sigma_1\sigma_2 \ldots \sigma_{r-1}(-j)\sigma_{r+1} \ldots \sigma_j] = \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since $s_{j-1}s_{j-2} \ldots s_r$ and $s_{j-1}s_{j-2} \ldots s_1 s_0 s_1 \ldots s_{r-1}$ are in R^B_{j-1}, this shows that $\sigma \in \sigma' R^B_{j-1}$. It follows that $B_{j-1}R^B_{j-1} = B_j$. Since $|R^B_{j-1}| = 2j = \frac{|B_j|}{|B_{j-1}|}$, R^B_{j-1} contains exactly one representative of each coset.

Next we note that $\{1, s_{j-1}, \ldots, s_{j-1} \ldots s_1\}$ are reduced expressions in S_j and that $\{1, s_0, s_0s_1, \ldots, s_0 \ldots s_{j-1}\}$ are reduced representatives of (some of) the cosets of the parabolic subgroup S_j of B_j, therefore R^B_{j-1} consists of reduced expressions.

Finally, the uniqueness of the canonical presentation follows from a counting argument:

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} |R^B_j| = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} 2(j+1) = 2^n n! = |B_n|. \qquad \square$$

Remark 2.6. For $\sigma \in S_n$, the B canonical presentation of σ coincides with its S canonical presentation.

Example 2.7. Let $\sigma = [5, -1, 2, -3, 4]$, then $\sigma_4 = s_4s_3s_2s_1$; $\sigma\sigma_4^{-1} = [-1, 2, -3, 4, 5]$, therefore $\sigma_3 = 1$ and $\sigma_2 = s_2s_1s_0s_1s_2$; and finally $\sigma\sigma_4^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2^{-1} = [-1, 2, 3, 4, 5]$ so $\sigma_1 = 1$ and $\sigma_0 = s_0$. Thus $\sigma = \sigma_0\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4 = (s_0)(1)(s_2s_1s_0s_1s_2)(1)(s_4s_3s_2s_1)$.

2.4 A_{n+1}

2.4.1 A Generating Set for A_{n+1}

We let

$$a_i = s_1 s_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1).$$

The set $A = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ generates A_{n+1}, and the generators satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_i a_j)^2 &= 1 \quad (|i-j| > 1), \\
(a_i a_{i+1})^3 &= 1 \quad (1 \le i < n-1), \\
a_i^2 &= 1 \quad (1 < i \le n-1), \\
a_1^3 &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that (A_{n+1}, A) is not a Coxeter system (in fact, A_{n+1} is not a Coxeter group) as $a_1^2 \neq 1$. This set of generators was introduced by Mitsuhashi[5].

2.4.2 The A Canonical Presentation

For each $1 \le j \le n-1$ define

$$R_j^A = \{1,\ a_j,\ a_j a_{j-1},\ \ldots,\ a_j \ldots a_2,\ a_j \ldots a_2 a_1,\ a_j \ldots a_2 a_1^{-1}\}$$

and note that $R_1^A, \ldots, R_{n-1}^A \subseteq A_{n+1}$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $v \in A_{n+1}$. Then there exist unique elements $v_j \in R_j^A$, $1 \le j \le n-1$, such that $v = v_1 \ldots v_{n-1}$, and this presentation is unique.*

Definition 2.9. Call $v = v_1 \ldots v_{n-1}$ in the above theorem *the A canonical presentation of $v \in A_{n+1}$*.

2.5 $L_{n+1} = C_2 \wr A_{n+1}$

2.5.1 Characterization in Terms of the B Canonical Presentation

Define the group homomorphism abs : $C_2 \wr S_n \to S_n$ by $((\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n), \sigma) \mapsto \sigma$, or equivalently, in terms of our representation of elements of $C_s \wr S_n$ as bijections of $\{\pm 1, \ldots, \pm n\}$ onto itself, $\text{abs}(\sigma)(i) = |\sigma(i)|$.

From this formulation one sees immediately that for any $\sigma \in B_n$, $\text{abs}(\sigma s_0) = \text{abs}(\sigma)$. Thus if $\sigma = s_{i_1} \ldots s_{i_k}$, then deleting all occurrences of s_0 from $s_{i_1} \ldots s_{i_k}$ what remains is an expression for $\text{abs}(\sigma)$. Since by definition $\text{abs}(L_{n+1}) = A_{n+1}$, we have

Proposition 2.10.

$$L_{n+1} = \left\{\sigma \in B_{n+1} \;\middle|\; \sigma = s_{i_1} \ldots s_{i_k}, \#\{j \mid i_j \neq 0\} \textit{ is even}\right\}.$$

2.5.2 A Generating Set for L_{n+1}

L_{n+1} is generated by $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ together with the generator $a_0 = s_0 = [-1, 2, 3, \ldots, n, n+1]$. The additional relations are $a_0^2 = 1$, $(a_0 a_1)^6 = (a_0 a_1^{-1})^6 = 1$, and $(a_0 a_i)^4 = 1$ for all $1 < i \le n-1$.

2.5.3 The L Canonical Presentation

Let $R_0^L = \{1, a_0, a_1a_0a_1^{-1}, a_0a_1a_0a_1^{-1}\}$ and for each $1 \le j \le n-1$ define

$$\begin{aligned} R_j^L = & R_j^A \cup \{a_ja_{j-1}\dots a_2a_1^{-1}a_0,\ a_ja_{j-1}\dots a_2a_1^{-1}a_0a_1^{-1}\} \\ & \cup \{a_ja_{j-1}\dots a_2a_1^{-1}a_0a_1,\ \dots,\ a_ja_{j-1}\dots a_2a_1^{-1}a_0a_1a_2\dots a_j\}. \end{aligned}$$

For example,

$$R_2^L = \{1,\ a_2,\ a_2a_1,\ a_2a_1^{-1},\ a_2a_1^{-1}a_0,\ a_2a_1^{-1}a_0a_1^{-1},\ a_2a_1^{-1}a_0a_1,\ a_2a_1^{-1}a_0a_1a_2\}.$$

Note that $R_0^L, \dots, R_{n-1}^L \subseteq L_{n+1}$.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\pi \in L_{n+1}$. Then there exist unique elements $\pi_j \in R_j^L$, $0 \le j \le n-1$, such that $\pi = \pi_0 \dots \pi_{n-1}$, and this presentation is unique.*

A proof is given below.

Definition 2.12. Call $\pi = \pi_0 \dots \pi_{n-1}$ in the above theorem *the L canonical presentation of* $\pi \in L_{n+1}$.

The following recursive **L-Procedure** is a way to calculate the L canonical presentation:

First note that $R_0^L = L_2$ so R_0^L gives the canonical presentations of all $\pi \in L_2$.

For $n > 1$, let $\pi \in L_{n+1}$, $|\pi(r)| = n+1$.

If $\pi(r) = n+1$, 'pull $n+1$ to its place on the right' by

$$\begin{aligned} & [\dots, n+1, \dots]a_{r-1}a_r\dots a_{n-1} = [\dots\dots, n+1] && \text{if } r > 2\ , \\ & [k, n+1, \dots]a_1^{-1}a_2\dots a_{n-1} = [\dots\dots, n+1] && \text{if } r = 2\ , \\ (*) \qquad & [n+1, \dots]a_1a_2\dots a_{n-1} = [\dots\dots, n+1] && \text{if } r = 1\ ; \end{aligned}$$

and if $\pi(r) = -(n+1)$, 'correct the sign' by

$$\begin{aligned} & [\dots, -(n+1), \dots]a_{r-2}\dots a_1^{-1}a_0 = [n+1, \dots] && \text{if } r > 3\ , \\ & [\ell, k, -(n+1), \dots]a_1^{-1}a_0 = [n+1, \dots] && \text{if } r = 3\ , \\ & [k, -(n+1), \dots]a_1a_0 = [n+1, \dots] && \text{if } r = 2\ , \\ & [-(n+1), \dots]a_0 = [n+1, \dots] && \text{if } r = 1\ , \end{aligned}$$

and then 'pull to the right' using $(*)$.

This gives $\pi_{n-1} \in R_{n-1}^L$ and $\pi\pi_{n-1}^{-1} \in L_n$. Therefore by induction $\pi = \pi_0 \dots \pi_{n-2}\pi_{n-1}$ with $\pi_j \in R_j^L$ for all $0 \le j \le n-1$.

For example, let $\pi = [3, 5, -4, 2, -1]$, then $\pi_3 = a_3a_2a_1$; $\pi\pi_3^{-1} = [-4, 3, 2, -1, 5]$, therefore $\pi_2 = a_2a_1^{-1}a_0$; next $\pi\pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1} = [2, 3, -1, 4, 5]$ so $\pi_1 = a_1$; and finally $\pi\pi_3^{-1}\pi_2^{-1}\pi_1^{-1} = [-1, 2, 3, 4, 5]$ so $\pi_0 = a_0$. Thus

$$\pi = \pi_0\pi_1\pi_2\pi_3 = (a_0)(a_1)(a_2a_1^{-1}a_0)(a_3a_2a_1).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.11. The L-Procedure proves the existence of such a presentation, and the uniqueness follows by a counting argument:

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} |R_j^L| = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} 2(j+2) = 2^n(n+1)! = 2^{n+1}|A_{n+1}| = |L_{n+1}|. \qquad \square$$

Remark 2.13. For $\pi \in A_{n+1}$, the L canonical presentation of π coincides with its A canonical presentation.

Remark 2.14. The canonical presentation of $\pi \in L_{n+1}$ is not necessarily a reduced expression. For example, the canonical presentation of $\pi = [-3, 1, -2] \in L_3$ is $\pi = (a_1 a_0 a_1^{-1})(a_1^{-1} a_0)$ which is not reduced ($\pi = a_1 a_0 a_1 a_0$).

2.6 B_n and L_{n+1} Statistics

Definition 2.15. Let $w = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]$ be a word on $\mathbb{Z}$. Define the *inversion number* of w as $\operatorname{inv}(w) = \#\{1 \le i < j \le n \mid w_i > w_j\}$.

For example, $\operatorname{inv}([5, -1, 2, -3, 4]) = 6$.

Definition 2.16. 1. Let $\sigma \in B_n$, then $j \ge 2$ is a *l.t.r.min* (left-to-right minimum) of σ if $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ for all $1 \le i < j$.

2. Define $\operatorname{del}_B(\sigma) = \#\operatorname{ltrm}(\sigma) = \#\{2 \le j \le n \mid j \text{ is a l.t.r.min of } \sigma\}$.

For example, the left-to-right minima of $\sigma = [5, -1, 2, -3, 4]$ are $\{2, 4\}$ so $\operatorname{del}_B(\sigma) = 2$.

Remark 2.17. $\operatorname{del}_S(w)$ was defined in [6] for $w \in S_n$. According to Proposition 7.2 in [6], if $w \in S_n$ then $\operatorname{del}_S(w) = \operatorname{del}_B(w)$.

Definition 2.18. Let $\sigma \in B_n$. Define

$$\operatorname{Neg}(\sigma) = \{i \in [n] \mid \sigma(i) < 0\}$$

Lemma 2.19.

$$\operatorname{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \{|\sigma(i)| \mid i \in [n],\ \sigma(i) < 0\}$$

Proof. For $i \in [n]$,

$$\sigma^{-1}(|\sigma(i)|) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } \sigma(i) > 0, \\ -i & \text{if } \sigma(i) < 0. \end{cases}$$

$\square$

Remark 2.20. If $v \in S_n$ and $\sigma \in B_n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Neg}(v\sigma) &= \{i \in [n] \mid v(\sigma(i)) < 0\} \\ &= \{i \in [n] \mid \sigma(i) < 0\} \\ &= \operatorname{Neg}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.21. Let $\sigma \in B_n$. Define the *B-length* of σ the usual way, i.e. $\ell_B(\sigma)$ is the length of a σ with respect to the Coxeter generators of B_n.

For example,

$$\ell_B([5,-1,2,-3,4]) = \ell_B(s_0 s_2 s_1 s_0 s_1 s_2 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1) = 10$$

(see Example 2.7).

Lemma 2.22. *Let $\sigma \in B_n$. Then*

$$\ell_B(\sigma) = \mathrm{inv}(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Let $\sigma = \sigma_0 \dots \sigma_{n-1}$ be the canonical presentation and let $\sigma' = \sigma_0 \dots \sigma_{n-2} = [b_1 b_2 \dots b_{n-1} n]$. If $\sigma_{n-1} = s_{n-1} s_{n-2} \dots s_r$, $r > 0$, then $\sigma = [b_1 b_2 \dots b_{r-1} n b_r \dots b_{n-1}]$, so $\mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1})$ by Lemma 2.19, and $\mathrm{inv}(\sigma) = \mathrm{inv}(\sigma') + n - r$; and if $\sigma_{n-1} = s_{n-1} \dots s_0 \dots s_r$ then $\sigma = [b_1 \dots b_r (-n) b_{r+1} \dots b_{n-1}]$, so $\mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1}) \cup \{n\}$ and $\mathrm{inv}(\sigma) = \mathrm{inv}(\sigma') + r$. In both cases

$$\big(\mathrm{inv}(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i\big) - \big(\mathrm{inv}(\sigma') + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1})} i\big) = \ell_B(\sigma_{n-1}).$$

By induction on n the lemma is proved. ∎

In [6], the *A-length* of $w \in A_n$, $\ell_A(w)$ was defined as the length of w's A canonical presentation, and it was shown to have the following property.

Proposition 2.23 (See [6], Proposition 4.4). *Let $w \in A_n$, then*

$$\ell_A(w) = \ell_S(w) - \mathrm{del}_S(w).$$

This serves as motivation for the following definition.

Definition 2.24. Let $\sigma \in B_n$. Define the *L-length* of σ as

$$\ell_L(\sigma) = \ell_B(\sigma) - \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma) = \mathrm{inv}(\sigma) - \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i. \tag{2}$$

Remark 2.25. 1. ℓ_L is *not* a length function with respect to any set of generators, that is for every set of generators of L_n, there exists $\pi \in L_n$ such that $\ell_L(\pi)$ is in not the length of a reduced expression for π using those generators. For example, in L_3 we have $\ell_L([3,1,2]) = \ell_L([-1,2,3]) = 1$ but $\ell_L([3,1,2][-1,2,3]) = \ell_L([-3,1,2]) = 3$.

2. If $w \in A_n$ then, according to Proposition 2.23 and the above remarks, $\ell_A(w) = \ell_L(w)$.

Definition 2.26. 1. The *S-descent set* of $\sigma \in B_n$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{Des}_S(\sigma) = \{1 \le i \le n-1 \mid \ell_B(\sigma s_i) < \ell_B(\sigma)\}.$$

2. Define the *major index* of $\sigma \in B_n$ by

$$\mathrm{maj}_B(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Des}_S(\sigma)} i.$$

3. Define the *reverse major index* of $\sigma \in B_n$ by

$$\mathrm{rmaj}_{B_n}(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Des}_S(\sigma)} (n-i).$$

For example, if $\sigma = [5, -1, 2, -3, 4]$ then $\mathrm{Des}_S(\sigma) = \{1, 3\}$, $\mathrm{maj}_B(\sigma) = 4$ and $\mathrm{rmaj}_{B_5}(\sigma) = 6$.

Remark 2.27. $\mathrm{Des}_S(\sigma) = \{1 \le i \le n-1 \mid \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)\}$. Indeed, by Remark 2.20 and the definition of inv, for $1 \le i \le n-1$

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_B(\sigma s_i) - \ell_B(\sigma) &= \big(\mathrm{inv}(\sigma s_i) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}((\sigma s_i)^{-1})} i\big) - \big(\mathrm{inv}(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i\big) \\ &= \mathrm{inv}(\sigma s_i) - \mathrm{inv}(\sigma) \\ &= \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \sigma(i) < \sigma(i+1), \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1). \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.28. It is natural to define the B-descent set of $\sigma \in B_n$ as

$$\mathrm{Des}_B(\sigma) = \{0 \le i \le n-1 \mid \ell_B(\sigma s_i) < \ell_B(\sigma)\},$$

and it also holds that

$$\mathrm{Des}_B(\sigma) = \{0 \le i \le n-1 \mid \sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)\}$$

where $\sigma(0) := 0$. Moreover, in the definition of maj_B, one can replace Des_S with Des_B. However, using the S-descent set makes the definitions of rmaj_B and the L analogues (below) appear more natural.

maj_B and rmaj_{B_n} are equidistributed on B_n, as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 2.29. *There exists an involution ϕ of B_n satisfying the conditions*

$$\mathrm{maj}_B(\sigma) = \mathrm{rmaj}_{B_n}(\phi(\sigma))$$

and

$$\mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}((\phi(\sigma))^{-1}). \tag{3}$$

Proof. Given $\sigma = [\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n] \in B_n$, $\sigma_{i_1} < \sigma_{i_2} < \cdots < \sigma_{i_n}$, let ρ_σ be the order-reversing permutation on $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$, that is $\rho_\sigma(\sigma_{i_k}) = \sigma_{i_{n+1-k}}$, and define

$$\phi(\sigma) = [\rho_\sigma(\sigma_n), \rho_\sigma(\sigma_{n-1}), \dots, \rho_\sigma(\sigma_1)].$$

Since ρ_σ is a permutation, the letters in the window notation of $\phi(\sigma)$ are again $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$, so $\rho_{\phi(\sigma)} = \rho_\sigma$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^2(\sigma) &= [\rho_{\phi(\sigma)}(\rho_\sigma(\sigma_1)), \dots, \rho_{\phi(\sigma)}(\rho_\sigma(\sigma_n))]\\ &= [\rho_\sigma^2(\sigma_1), \dots, \rho_\sigma^2(\sigma_n)]\\ &= \sigma\end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 2.19, $\mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(\phi(\sigma)^{-1})$.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} i \in \mathrm{Des}_S(\phi(\sigma)) &\iff \phi(\sigma)(i) > \phi(\sigma)(i+1)\\ &\iff \rho_\sigma(\sigma_{n+1-i}) > \rho_\sigma(\sigma_{n-i})\\ &\iff \sigma_{n+1-i} < \sigma_{n-i}\\ &\iff n-i \in \mathrm{Des}_S(\sigma),\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\mathrm{rmaj}_{B_n}(\phi(\sigma)) = \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Des}_S(\phi(\sigma))} n-i = \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Des}_S(\sigma)} i = \mathrm{maj}_B(\sigma).$$

□

Example 2.30. Let $\sigma = [5, -1, 2, -3, 4]$. To compute $\phi(\sigma)$, we reverse σ to get $[4, -3, 2, -1, 5]$, then apply the order-reversing permutation on $\{-3, -1, 2, 4, 5\}$ to get $\phi(\sigma) = [-1, 5, 2, 4, -3]$. Indeed we have $\mathrm{maj}_B(\sigma) = 4 = \mathrm{rmaj}_{B_5}(\phi(\sigma))$ and $\mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) = \{1, 3\} = \mathrm{Neg}(\phi(\sigma)^{-1})$.

Definition 2.31. 1. The *A-descent set* of $\pi \in L_{n+1}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{Des}_A(\pi) = \{1 \le i \le n-1 \mid \ell_L(\pi a_i) \le \ell_L(\pi)\},$$

and the *A-descent number* of $\pi \in L_{n+1}$ is defined by $\mathrm{des}_A(\pi) = |\mathrm{Des}_A \pi|$.

2. Define the *alternating reverse major index* of $\pi \in L_{n+1}$ by

$$\mathrm{rmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) = \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Des}_A(\pi)} (n-i).$$

3. Define the *negative alternating reverse major index* of $\pi \in L_{n+1}$ by

$$\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) = \mathrm{rmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i.$$

For example, if $\pi = [5, -1, 2, -3, 4]$ then $\mathrm{Des}_A(\pi) = \{1, 2\}$, $\mathrm{rmaj}_{L_5}(\pi) = 5$, and $\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_5}(\pi) = 5 + 1 + 3 = 9$.

Remark 2.32. 1. For $w \in A_{n+1}$, the above definitions agree with the definitions in [6].

2. In general, $\mathrm{Des}_A(\pi) \neq \{1 \le i \le n-1 \mid \pi(i) > \pi(i+1)\}$.

3 Equidistribution on L_{n+1}

The following is our main result.

Proposition 3.1. *For every* $B \subseteq [n+1]$

$$\sum_{\{\pi \in L_{n+1} \,\big|\, \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B\}} q^{\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi)} = \sum_{\{\pi \in L_{n+1} \,\big|\, \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B\}} q^{\ell_L(\pi)}$$
$$= \prod_{i \in B}(1+q^i) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1+q+\cdots+q^{i-1}+2q^i).$$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle we have:

Corollary 3.2. *For every* $B \subseteq [n+1]$

$$\sum_{\{\pi \in L_{n+1} \,\big|\, \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = B\}} q^{\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi)} = \sum_{\{\pi \in L_{n+1} \,\big|\, \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = B\}} q^{\ell_L(\pi)}.$$

Note that the case $B = \emptyset$ of Proposition 3.1 is just the case $t = 1$ of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 (See [6], Theorem 6.1(2)).

$$\sum_{w \in A_{n+1}} q^{\ell_A(w)} t^{\mathrm{del}_A(w)} = \sum_{w \in A_{n+1}} q^{\mathrm{rmaj}_{A_{n+1}}(w)} t^{\mathrm{del}_A(w)}$$
$$= (1+2qt)(1+q+2q^2t)\cdots(1+q+\cdots+q^{n-2}+2q^{n-1}t).$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 uses the decomposition of

$$\{\pi \in L_{n+1} \,\big|\, \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B\}$$

into left cosets of A_{n+1}, and a set of distinguished coset representatives.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $\rho \in S_{n+1}$*. Then*

$$\ell_L(\rho) = \ell_L(s_1\rho).$$

Proof.

$$\mathrm{inv}(s_1\rho) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{inv}(\rho)+1 & \text{if } \rho^{-1}(1) < \rho^{-1}(2); \\ \mathrm{inv}(\rho)-1 & \text{if } \rho^{-1}(1) > \rho^{-1}(2) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathrm{del}_B(s_1\rho) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{del}_B(\rho)+1 & \text{if } \rho^{-1}(1) < \rho^{-1}(2); \\ \mathrm{del}_B(\rho)-1 & \text{if } \rho^{-1}(1) > \rho^{-1}(2), \end{cases}$$

therefore

$$\ell_L(\rho) = \mathrm{inv}(\rho) - \mathrm{del}_B(\rho) = \mathrm{inv}(s_1\rho) - \mathrm{del}_B(s_1\rho) = \ell_L(s_1\rho).$$

□

Lemma 3.5 (Main Lemma). *Let* $\pi \in L_{n+1}$. *Then there exists a unique* $\sigma \in L_{n+1}$ *such that* $u = \sigma^{-1}\pi \in A_{n+1}$ *and* $\mathrm{des}_A(\sigma) = 0$. *Moreover,* $\mathrm{Des}_A(u) = \mathrm{Des}_A(\pi)$, $\mathrm{inv}(u) - \mathrm{del}_S(u) = \mathrm{inv}(\pi) - \mathrm{del}_B(\pi)$, *and* $\mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})$.

Proof. Let $\sigma' \in B_{n+1}$ be the increasing word with the letters of π. Clearly $\mathrm{inv}(\sigma') = \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma') = 0$ so by (2), $\ell_L(\sigma') = \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1})} i$.

For every $v \in S_{n+1}$ and $i, j \in [n+1]$,

$$v(i) < v(j) \iff (\sigma' v)(i) < (\sigma' v)(j),$$

thus

$$\mathrm{inv}(\sigma' v) = \mathrm{inv}(v) \tag{4}$$

and

$$\mathrm{del}_B(\sigma' v) = \mathrm{del}_S(v). \tag{5}$$

By Remark 2.20, $\mathrm{Neg}((\sigma' v)^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(v^{-1}\sigma'^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1})$. Therefore for every $v \in S_{n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_L(\sigma' v) &= \mathrm{inv}(\sigma' v) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}((\sigma' v)^{-1})} i \quad - \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma' v) \\
&= \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma'^{-1})} i \quad + \mathrm{inv}(v) - \mathrm{del}_B(v) \\
&= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(v).
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

There are two possible cases to consider:

Case 1: $\sigma' \in L_{n+1}$. Let $\sigma = \sigma'$ and let $u = \sigma'^{-1}\pi$.

Using (6) we have for $1 \le i \le n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_L(\sigma a_i) &= \ell_L(\sigma' a_i) \\
&= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(a_i) \\
&> \ell_L(\sigma') \\
&= \ell_L(\sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_L(\pi) - \ell_L(\pi a_i) &= \ell_L(\sigma u) - \ell_L(\sigma(u a_i)) \\
&= \ell_L(\sigma' u) - \ell_L(\sigma'(u a_i)) \\
&= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(u) - \ell_L(\sigma') - \ell_L(u a_i) \\
&= \ell_L(u) - \ell_L(u a_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathrm{des}_A(\sigma) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Des}_A(u) = \mathrm{Des}_A(\pi)$ as desired. From (4) and (5) we also get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{inv}(\pi) - \mathrm{del}_B(\pi) &= \mathrm{inv}(\sigma u) - \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma u) \\
&= \mathrm{inv}(\sigma' u) - \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma' u) \\
&= \mathrm{inv}(u) - \mathrm{del}_S(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\sigma' s_1 \in L_{n+1}$. Let $\sigma = \sigma' s_1$ and let $u = s_1 \sigma'^{-1} \pi$.

Using (6) we have for $1 \le i \le n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_L(\sigma a_i) &= \ell_L(\sigma' s_{i+1}) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(s_{i+1}) \\ &> \ell_L(\sigma') \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(s_1) && (\ell_L(s_1) = 0) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma' s_1) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

and, using also Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_L(\pi) - \ell_L(\pi a_i) &= \ell_L(\sigma' s_1 u) - \ell_L(\sigma'(s_1 u a_i)) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma') + \ell_L(s_1 u) - \ell_L(\sigma') - \ell_L(s_1 u a_i) \\ &= \ell_L(s_1 u) - \ell_L(s_1(u a_i)) \\ &= \ell_L(u) - \ell_L(u a_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathrm{des}_A(\sigma) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Des}_A(u) = \mathrm{Des}_A(\pi)$ as desired. From (4) and (5) and Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{inv}(\pi) - \mathrm{del}_B(\pi) &= \mathrm{inv}(\sigma' s_1 u) - \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma' s_1 u) \\ &= \mathrm{inv}(s_1 u) - \mathrm{del}_S(s_1 u) \\ &= \mathrm{inv}(u) - \mathrm{del}_S(u). \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the fact that $\mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) = \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})$ follows by Remark 2.20 from the fact that $\pi^{-1} = u^{-1}\sigma^{-1}$ and $u \in A_{n+1}$.

To see that σ is unique, suppose $\tilde{\sigma} \in L_{n+1}$ satisfies $\mathrm{des}_A(\tilde{\sigma}) = 0$ and $\tilde{u} = \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\pi \in A_{n+1}$. Then $0 = \mathrm{des}_A(\tilde{\sigma}) = \mathrm{des}_A(\sigma u \tilde{u}^{-1})$ (since $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma u \tilde{u}^{-1}$), so for $1 \le i \le n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\le \ell_L(\sigma u \tilde{u}^{-1} a_i) - \ell_L(\sigma u \tilde{u}^{-1}) \\ &= \ell_L(\sigma) + \ell_L(u \tilde{u}^{-1} a_i) - \ell_L(\sigma) - \ell_L(u \tilde{u}^{-1}) \\ &= \ell_L(u \tilde{u}^{-1} a_i) - \ell_L(u \tilde{u}^{-1}) \\ &= \ell_A(u \tilde{u}^{-1} a_i) - \ell_A(u \tilde{u}^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

whence $u\tilde{u}^{-1} = 1$, i.e. $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}$.

□

Let $T = \{\sigma \in L_{n+1} \mid \mathrm{des}_A(\sigma) = 0\}$.

Corollary 3.6. *1. For every $B \subseteq [n+1]$ there exists a unique $\sigma \in T$ such that $B = \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})$.*

2. For every $B \subseteq [n+1]$,

$$\{\pi \in L_n \mid \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B\} = \biguplus_{u \in A_{n+1}} \{\sigma u \mid \sigma \in T, \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B\}, \qquad (7)$$

where $\uplus$ denotes disjoint union.

Corollary 3.7. *Let* $\pi \in L_{n+1}$*, and write* $\pi = \sigma u$ *with* σ *and* u *like in Lemma 3.5. Then* $\ell_L(\pi) = \ell_A(u) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i$ *.*

Proof. By (2), Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 2.23,

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_L(\pi) &= \mathrm{inv}(\pi) - \mathrm{del}_B(\pi) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i \\ &= \mathrm{inv}(u) - \mathrm{del}_S(u) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i \\ &= \ell_A(u) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 3.1. From Corollary 3.6, Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{\pi \in L_{n+1} \\ \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B}} q^{\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi)} &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\sigma u)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\mathrm{rmaj}_L(\sigma u) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}((\sigma u)^{-1})} i} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} q^{\sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\mathrm{rmaj}_A(u)} \\ &= \sum_{C \subseteq B} q^{\sum_{i \in C} i} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\mathrm{rmaj}_A(u)} \\ &= \prod_{i \in B} (1 + q^i) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{i-1} + 2q^i).\end{aligned}$$

By similar considerations, this time invoking the other equality in Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{\pi \in L_{n+1} \\ \mathrm{Neg}(\pi^{-1}) \subseteq B}} q^{\ell_L(\pi)} &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\ell_L(\sigma u)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\mathrm{inv}(\sigma u) + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}((\sigma u)^{-1})} i - \mathrm{del}_B(\sigma u)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in T \\ \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \subseteq B}} q^{\sum_{i \in \mathrm{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\mathrm{inv}(u) - \mathrm{del}_S(u)} \\ &= \sum_{C \subseteq B} q^{\sum_{i \in C} i} \sum_{u \in A_{n+1}} q^{\ell_A(u)} \\ &= \prod_{i \in B} (1 + q^i) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{i-1} + 2q^i).\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Even-signed Even Permutations

We denote by D_n the group of *even-signed permutations*, that is the subgroup of B_n consisting of all the signed permutations having an even number of negative entries in their window notation. Equivalently,

$$D_n = \{\sigma \in B_n \mid \# \operatorname{Neg}(\sigma^{-1}) \text{ is even}\}.$$

D_n is a Coxeter group of type D, generated by $\tilde{s}_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$, where $\tilde{s}_0 = [-2, -1, 3, \ldots, n] = s_0 s_1 s_0$.

Following Biagioli [2], we define the *D-length* of $\sigma \in D_n$ by

$$\ell_D(\sigma) = \ell_B(\sigma) - \# \operatorname{Neg}(\sigma),$$

which is also the length of a reduced expression for σ in the above generators, and we let

$$\operatorname{dmaj}(\sigma) = \operatorname{maj}_B(\sigma) - \# \operatorname{Neg}(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \operatorname{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i.$$

Biagioli proved the following D_n-analogue of MacMahon's theorem.

Proposition 4.1 (See [2], Proposition 3.1).

$$\sum_{\sigma \in D_n} q^{\operatorname{dmaj}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in D_n} q^{\ell_D(\sigma)}.$$

Let

$$\operatorname{drmaj}_n(\sigma) = \operatorname{rmaj}_{B_n}(\sigma) - \# \operatorname{Neg}(\sigma) + \sum_{i \in \operatorname{Neg}(\sigma^{-1})} i.$$

Since the involution ϕ from Lemma 2.29 satisfies (3), dmaj and drmaj_n are equidistributed on D_n, hence we can replace dmaj with drmaj_n in Proposition 4.1.

Let $(L \cap D)_{n+1} = L_{n+1} \cap D_{n+1}$, the group of even-signed even permutations on $\pm 1, \ldots, \pm(n+1)$, and let

$$\ell_{(L \cap D)}(\pi) = \ell_D(\pi) - \operatorname{del}_B(\pi)$$

and

$$\operatorname{drmaj}_{(L \cap D)_{n+1}}(\pi) = \operatorname{rmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi) - \# \operatorname{Neg}(\pi) + \sum_{i \in \operatorname{Neg}(\pi^{-1})} i.$$

Proposition 4.2.

$$\sum_{\pi \in (L \cap D)_{n+1}} q^{\operatorname{drmaj}_{(L \cap D)_{n+1}}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in (L \cap D)_{n+1}} q^{\ell_{(L \cap D)}(\pi)}.$$

Proof. From the definitions and from Corollary 3.2 we have for every i

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{\pi\in L_{n+1}\\ \#\operatorname{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=2i}} q^{\mathrm{drmaj}_{(L\cap D)_{n+1}}(\pi)} &= \sum_{\substack{\pi\in L_{n+1}\\ \#\operatorname{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=2i}} q^{\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi)-\#\operatorname{Neg}(\pi)}\\ &= q^{-2i}\sum_{\substack{B\subseteq[n+1]\\ |B|=2i}}\ \sum_{\substack{\pi\in L_{n+1}\\ \operatorname{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=B}} q^{\mathrm{nrmaj}_{L_{n+1}}(\pi)}\\ &= q^{-2i}\sum_{\substack{B\subseteq[n+1]\\ |B|=2i}}\ \sum_{\substack{\pi\in L_{n+1}\\ \operatorname{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=B}} q^{\ell_L(\pi)}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{\pi\in L_{n+1}\\ \#\operatorname{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=2i}} q^{\ell_L(\pi)-\#\operatorname{Neg}(\pi)}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{\pi\in L_{n+1}\\ \#\operatorname{Neg}(\pi^{-1})=2i}} q^{\ell_{(L\cap D)}(\pi)}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum over all i we get the desired equality. □

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my advisor, Amitai Regev, for suggesting the topic and for his helpful remarks on preliminary versions of this paper.

References

[1] R. M. Adin, F. Brenti and Y. Roichman, *Descent Numbers and Major Indices for the Hyperoctahedral Group*, Adv. in Applied Math. **27** (2001), 210–224.

[2] R. Biagioli, *Major and descent statistics for the even-signed permutation group*, Adv. in Applied Math. **31** (2003), 163–179.

[3] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, *Characters of Finite Coxeter Groups and Iwahori–Hecke Algebras*, Oxford University Press, 2000.

[4] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis I–II*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London and New York, 1916. (Reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1960).

[5] H. Mitsuhashi, *The q-analogue of the alternating group and its representations*, J. Alg. **240** (2001), 535–558.

[6] A. Regev and Y. Roichman, *Permutation Statistics on the Alternating Group*, preprint, 2003, `arXiv:math.CO/0302301`.